# **On Gauss-Pólya's Inequality**

### By

## J. Pečarić, J. Šunde, and S. Varošanec

(Vorgelegt in der Sitzung der math.-nath. Klasse am 18. Juni 1998 durch das w. M. Peter Gruber)

## Abstract

Let  $g, h : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  be nonnegative nondecreasing functions such that g and b have a continuous first derivative and g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b). Let  $p = (p_1, p_2)$  be a pair of positive real numbers  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $p_1 + p_2 = 1.$ 

a) If  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  be a nonnegative nondecreasing function, then for r, s < 1

$$M_p^{[r]}\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt,\int_a^b b'(t)f(t)\,dt\right) \le \int_a^b (M_p^{[s]}(g(t),b(t)))'f(t)\,dt$$

holds, and for r, s > 1 the inequality is reversed.

b) If  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for r < 1 < s (1) holds and for r > 1 > s the inequality is reversed.

Similar results are derived for quasiarithmetic and logarithmic means.

Key words and phrases: Logarithmic mean, quasiarithmetic mean, Pólya's inequality, weighted mean.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 26D10.

## 1. Introduction

Gauss mentioned the following result in [2]:

If f is a nonnegative and decreasing function then

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2} f(x) \, dx\right)^{2} \le \frac{5}{9} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{4} f(x) \, dx.$$
(2)

J. Pečarić et al.

Pólya and Szegö classical book "Problems and Theorems in Analysis, I" [7] gives the following generalization and extension of Gauss' inequality (2).

**Theorem A.** (Pólya's inequality) Let a and b be nonnegative real numbers. a) If  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a nonnegative and decreasing function, then

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a+b} f(x) dx\right)^{2} \leq \left(1 - \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b+1}\right)^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2a} f(x) dx$$
$$\times \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2b} f(x) dx \tag{3}$$

whenever the integrals exist. b) If  $f: [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a nonnegative and increasing function, then

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} x^{a+b} f(x) \, dx\right)^{2} \ge \left(1 - \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b+1}\right)^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2a} f(x) \, dx$$
$$\times \int_{0}^{1} x^{2b} f(x) \, dx. \tag{4}$$

Obviously, putting a = 0 and b = 2 in (3) we obtain Gauss' inequality. Recently Pečarić and Varošanec [6] obtained a generalization.

**Theorem B.** Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  be nonnegative and increasing, and let  $x_i : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}(i = 1, ..., n)$  be nonnegative increasing functions with a continuous first derivative. If  $p_i, (i = 1, ..., n)$  are positive real numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ , then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i}(t))^{1/p_{i}} \right)' f(t) \, dt \ge \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \int_{a}^{b} x_{i}'(t) f(t) \, dt \right)^{1/p_{i}}.$$
 (5)

If  $x_i(a) = 0$  for all i = 1, ..., n and if f is a decreasing function then the reverse inequality holds.

The previous result is an extension of the Pólya's inequality. If we substitute in (5):  $n = 2, p_1 = p_2 = 2, a = 0, b = 1, g(x) = x^{2u+1}, b(x) = x^{2v+1}$  where u, v > 0, we have (4).

In this paper we provide generalizations of Theorem B in a number of directions. In Section 2 we first provide the inequality for weighted means. We note that, as is suggested by notation for means, our result extends to the case when the ordered pair  $(p_1, p_2)$  is replaced by an *n*-tuple. We derive also a version of our theorem for higher derivatives.

Section 4 treats some corresponding results when M is replaced by quasiarithmetic mean. This can be done when the function involved enjoys appropriate convexity properties. A second theorem in Section 4 allows one weight  $p_1$  to be positive and the others negative.

Section 5 addresses the logarithmic mean.

## 2. Results Connected with Weighted Means

 $M_p^{[s]}(a)$  denotes the weighted mean of order *r* and weights  $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$  of a positive sequence  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . The *n*-tuple *p* is of positive numbers  $p_i$  with  $\sum_{1=i}^{n} p_i = 1$ . The mean is defined by

$$M_{p}^{[r]}(a) = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}^{r}\right)^{1/r} & \text{for} \quad r \neq 0\\ \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{p_{i}} & \text{for} \quad r = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the special cases r = -1, 0, 1 we obtain respectively the familiar harmonic, geometric and arithmetic mean.

The following theorem, which is a simple consequence of Jensen's inequality for convex functions, is one of the most important inequalities between means.

# **Theorem C.** If a and p are positive n-tuples and $s < t, s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ , then $M_p^{[s]}(a) \le M_p^{[t]}(a) \quad \text{for} \quad s < t,$ (6)

with equality if and only if  $a_1 = \ldots = a_n$ .

A well-known consequence of the above statement is the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means. Previous results and refinements can be found in [3].

The following theorem is the generalization of Theorem B.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $g, h : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  be nonnegative nondecreasing functions such that g and h have a continuous first derivative and g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b). Let  $p = (p_1, p_2)$  be a pair of positive real numbers  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $p_1 + p_2 = 1$ . a) If  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  be a nonnegative nondecreasing function, then for r, s < 1

$$M_{p}^{[r]}\left(\int_{a}^{b} g'(t)f(t)\,dt,\int_{a}^{b} b'(t)f(t)\,dt\right) \leq \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]}(g(t),b(t))\right)'f(t)\,dt$$
(7)

holds, and for r, s > 1 the inequality is reversed.

b) If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for r < 1 < s (7) holds and for r > 1 > s the inequality is reversed.

*Proof*: Let us suppose that r, s < 1 and f is nondecreasing. Using inequality (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} M_{p}^{[r]} & \left( \int_{a}^{b} g'(t) f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t) f(t) dt \right) \\ & \leq M_{p}^{[1]} \left( \int_{a}^{b} g'(t) f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} b'(t) f(t) dt \right) \\ & = \int_{a}^{b} \left( p_{1} g'(t) + p_{2} b'(t) \right) f(t) dt \\ & = f(b) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[1]} (g(t), b(t)) df(t) \\ & \leq f(b) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)) df(t) \\ & = f(b) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) \\ & - \left( f(b) M_{p}^{[s]} (g(b), b(b)) - f(a) M_{p}^{[s]} (g(a), b(a)) \right) \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} (M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)))' f(t) dt \right) \\ & = f(b) \left( M_{p}^{[1]} (g(b), b(b)) - M_{p}^{[s]} (g(b), b(b)) \right) \\ & - f(a) \left( M_{p}^{[1]} (g(a), b(a)) - M_{p}^{[s]} (g(a), b(a)) \right) \\ & + \int_{a}^{b} (M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)))' f(t) dt \\ & = \int_{a}^{b} (M_{p}^{[s]} (g(t), b(t)))' f(t) dt. \end{split}$$

A similar proof applies in each of the other cases.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1.** In Theorem 1 we deal with two functions g and h. Obviously a similar result holds for n functions  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  which satisfy the same conditions as g and h.

**Remark 2.** It is obvious that on substituting r = s = 0 into (7) we have inequality (5) for n = 2. The result for r = s = 0 is given in [1].

In the following theorem we consider an inequality involving higher derivatives.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}, x_i : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  (i = 1, ..., m) be nonnegative functions with continuous n-th derivatives such that  $x_i^{(n)}, (i = 1, ..., m)$  are nonnegative functions and  $p_i, (i = 1, ..., m)$  be positive real numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i = 1$ . a) If  $(-1)^{n-1} f^{(n)}$  is a nonnegative function, then for r, s < 1

$$M_{p}^{[r]}\left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t)f(t) dt, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t)f(t) dt\right)$$

$$\leq \Delta + \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t))\right)^{(n)}f(t) dt$$
(8)

holds, where

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1} f^{(n-k-1)}(t) \\ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i x_i^{(k)}(t) - \left( M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t), \dots, x_m(t)) \right)^{(k)} \right) \Big|_a^b.$$

If

$$x_i^{(k)}(a) = x_j^{(k)}(a) \text{ and } x_i^{(k)}(b) = x_j^{(k)}(b) \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$
(9)

and k = 0, ..., n - 1, then

$$M_{p}^{[r]}\left(\int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t)f(t) dt, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t)f(t) dt\right)$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} \left(M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t))\right)^{(n)}f(t) dt.$$
(10)

If r, s > 1, then the inequalities (8) and (10) are reversed.

b) If  $(-1)^n f^{(n)}$  is a nonnegative function, then for r < 1 < s the inequalities (8) and (10) hold and for r > 1 > s they are reversed.

*Proof:* a) Let *r* and *s* be less than 1. Integrating by part *n*-times and using (6), we obtain

$$\begin{split} M_{p}^{[r]} & \left( \int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt \right) \\ & \leq M_{p}^{[1]} \left( \int_{a}^{b} x_{1}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} x_{m}^{(n)}(t) f(t) dt \right) \\ & = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k1} f^{(n-k-1)}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} x_{i}^{(k)}(t) \right) \Big|_{a}^{b} \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[1]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) (-1)^{(n-1)} f^{(n)}(t) dt \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k1} f^{(n-k-1)}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} x_{i}^{(k)}(t) \right) \Big|_{a}^{b} \\ & - \int_{a}^{b} M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) (-1)^{(n-1)} f^{(n)}(t) dt \\ & = \Delta + \int_{a}^{b} \left( M_{p}^{[s]}(x_{1}(t), \dots, x_{m}(t)) \right)^{(n)} f(t) dt. \end{split}$$

We shall prove that  $\Delta = 0$  if  $x_i, i = 1, ..., m$ , satisfy (9). Let us use notation  $A_k = x_i^{(k)}(a)$  for k = 0, 1, ..., n-1. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i x_i^{(k)}(a) = A_k$ . Consider the k-th order derivative of function  $y^p$  where y is an arbitrary function with k-th order derivative. First, there exists function  $\phi_k^{[p]}$  such that

$$(y^{p})^{(k)} = \phi_{k}^{[p]}(y, y', \dots, y^{(k)}).$$

This follows by induction on k. For k = 1 we have  $(y^p)' = py^{p-1}y' = \phi_1^{[p]}(y, y')$ . Suppose that proposition is valid for all j < k + 1. Then using Leibniz's rule we get

$$(y^{p})^{(k+1)} = (py^{p-1} \cdot y')^{(k)}$$
  
=  $p \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j} (y^{p-1})^{(j)} (y')^{(k-j)}$   
=  $p \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j} \phi_{j}^{[p-1]} (y, y', \dots, y^{(j)}) y^{(k-j+1)}$   
=  $\phi_{k+1}^{[p]} (y, y', \dots, y^{(k+1)}).$  (11)

Suppose that  $s \neq 0$  and use the abbreviated notation M(t) for the mean  $M_p^{[s]}(x_1(t), \ldots, x_m(t))$ . Then  $M^s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i x_i^s(t)$ . The statement " $M^{(k)}(a) = \mathcal{A}_k$ " will be proved by induction on k. It is easy to check for k = 0 and k = 1.

Suppose it holds for all j < k + 1. Then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} x_{i}^{s}(t)\right)^{(k+1)} \bigg|_{t=a} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \phi_{(k+1)}^{[s]} \Big( x_{i}(t), x_{i}'(t), \dots, x_{i}^{(k+1)}(t) \Big) \bigg|_{t=a}$$
$$= \phi_{(k+1)}^{[s]} (\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{k+1})$$
$$= s \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \phi_{j}^{[s-1]} (\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{k}) \mathcal{A}_{k-j+1}$$
$$+ \phi_{k}^{[s-1]} (\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{k}) \mathcal{A}_{k+1}.$$

On the other hand, using (11) we get

$$(M^{s}(t))^{(k+1)}|_{t=a} = s \sum_{j=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{j}} \phi_{j}^{[s-1]}(M(a), M'(a), \dots, M^{(j)}(a))$$
  

$$\times M^{(k-j+1)}(a) + \phi_{k}^{[s-1]}(M(a), M'(a), \dots, M^{(k)}(a))M^{(k+1)}(a)$$
  

$$= s \sum_{j=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{j}} \phi_{j}^{[s-1]}(\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{j})\mathcal{A}_{k-j+1} + \phi_{k}^{[s-1]}$$
  

$$(\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{k})M^{(k+1)}(a).$$

Comparing these two results we obtain that  $M^{(k+1)}(a) = A_{k+1}$ , which is enough to conclude that  $\Delta = 0$ .

In the other cases the proof is similar, except in the case s = 0 which is left to the reader.

## 3. Applications

Now we will restrict our attention to the case when r = 0 and the  $x_i$  are power functions.

The case when n = 1. Set:  $r = 0, n = 1, a = 0, b = 1, x_i(t) = t^{a_i p_i + 1}$  in (8), where  $a_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$  for  $i = 1, ..., m, p_i > 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ . We obtain that  $\Delta = 0$  and

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{1}+\dots+a_{m}} f(t) dt \geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (a_{i}p_{i}+1)^{1/p_{i}}}{1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left( \int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{i}p_{i}} f(t) dt \right)^{1/p_{i}},$$
(12)

J. Pečarić et al.

if f is a nondecreasing function. It is an improvement of Pólya's inequality (4). Some other results related to this inequality can be found in [5] and [8].

For example, combining (12) and the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i + 2 \ge \prod_{i=1}^{m} (a_i p_i + 2)^{1/p_i}$$

which follows from the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{1}+\dots+a_{m}} f(t) dt \geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} ((a_{i}p_{i}+1)(a_{i}p_{i}+2))^{1/p_{i}}}{(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{i})(2+\sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{i})} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{a_{i}p_{i}} f(t) dt\right)^{1/p_{i}}.$$
(13)

The case when n = 2.

Set:  $r = 0, n = 2, a = 0, b = 1, x_i(t) = t^{a_i p_i + 2}$  in (8), where  $a_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m, p_i > 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ . After some simple calculation, we obtain that  $\Delta = 0$  and inequality (13) holds if f is a concave function. So inequality (13) applies not only for f nondecreasing, but also for f concave.

## 4. Results for Quasiarithmetic Means

**Definition 2.** Let *f* be a monotone real function with inverse  $f^{-1}$ ,  $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) = (p_i)_i$ ,  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (a_i)_i$  be real *n*-tuples. The quasiarithmetic mean of *n*-tuple *a* is defined by

$$M_f(a;p) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(a_i)\right),$$

where  $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ .

For  $p_i \ge 0$ ,  $P_n = 1$ ,  $f(x) = x^r (r \ne 0)$  and  $f(x) = \ln x (r = 0)$  the quasiarithmetic mean  $M_f(a; p)$  is the weighted mean  $M_p^{[r]}(a)$  of order r.

**Theorem 3.** Let p be a positive n-tuple,  $x_i : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}(i = 1, ..., n)$  be nonnegative functions with continuous first derivative such that  $x_i(a) = x_j(a), x_i(b) = x_j(b), i, j = 1, ..., n$ 

a) If  $\varphi$  is a nonnegative nondecreasing function on [a, b] and if f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing functions, then

$$M_f\left(\left(\int_a^b x_i'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt\right)_i;p\right) \ge \int_a^b M_g'((x_i(t))_i;p)\varphi(t)\,dt.$$
(14)

If f and g are concave increasing or convex decreasing functions, the inequality is reversed. b) If  $\varphi$  is a nonnegative nonincreasing function on [a, b], f convex increasing or concave decreasing function and g is concave increasing or convex decreasing, then (14) holds.

If f is concave increasing or convex decreasing function and g is convex increasing or convex decreasing function and g is convex increasing or concave decreasing, then (14) is reversed.

*Proof*: Suppose that  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing and f and g are convex functions. We shall use integration by parts and the well-known Jensen inequality for convex functions. The latter states that if  $(p_i)$  is a positive *n*-tuple and  $a_i \in I$ , then for every convex function  $f : I \to R$  we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i\right) \le \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(a_i).$$

$$(15)$$

We have

$$\begin{split} M_{f}\bigg(\bigg(\int_{a}^{b} x_{i}'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt\bigg)_{i}^{*}p\bigg) &= f^{-1}\bigg(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}f\bigg(\int_{a}^{b} x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)\,dt\bigg)\bigg)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\int_{a}^{b} x_{i}'(t)\varphi(t)\,dt = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{P_{n}}\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}'(t)\bigg)\,\varphi(t)\,dt\\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)\big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{P_{n}}\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\bigg)\,d\varphi(t)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)\big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} g^{-1}\bigg(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}g(x_{i}(t)\bigg)\bigg)\,d\varphi(t)\\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)\big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} M_{g}(x_{i}(t))_{i}^{*};p)\,d\varphi(t)\\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}(t)\varphi(t)\big|_{a}^{b} - M_{g}((x_{i}(t))_{i}^{*};p)\varphi(t)\big|_{a}^{b}\\ &+ \int_{a}^{b} M_{g}'((x_{i}(t))_{i}^{*};p)\varphi(t)\,dt = \int_{a}^{b} M_{g}'((x_{i}(t))_{i}^{*};p)\varphi(t)\,dt. \quad \Box \end{split}$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $x_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, satisfy assumptions of Theorem 4 and let p be a real n-tuple such that

$$p_1 > 0, \quad p_i \le 0 \quad (i = 2, \dots, n), \quad P_n > 0.$$
 (16)

a) If  $\varphi$  is a nonnegative nonincreasing function on [a, b] and if f and g are concave increasing or convex decreasing functions, then (14) holds, while if f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing (14) is reversed.

## J. Pečarić et al.

b) If  $\varphi$  is a nonnegative nondecreasing function on [a, b], f is convex increasing or concave decreasing and g concave increasing or convex decreasing, then (14) holds.

If f is concave increasing or convex decreasing and g is convex increasing or concave decreasing, then (14) is reversed.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4. Instead of Jensen's inequality, a reverse Jensen's inequality [3, p. 6] is used: that is, if  $p_i$  is real *n*-tuple such that (16) holds,  $a_i \in I$ , i = 1, ..., n, and  $(1/P_n) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \in I$ , then for every convex function  $f : I \to R$  (15) is reversed.

**Remark 3.** In Theorem 4 and 5 we deal with first derivatives. We can state an analogous result for higher-order derivatives as in Section 2.

**Remark 4.** The assumption that p is a positive *n*-tuple in Theorem 4 can be weakened to p being a real *n*-tuple such that

$$0 \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \le P_n \quad (1 \le k \le n), \quad P_n > 0$$

and  $(\int x'_i(t)\varphi(t) dt)_i$  and  $(x_i(t))_i, t \in [a, b]$  being monotone *n*-tuples.

In that case, we use Jensen-Steffenen's inequality [3, p. 6]. instead of Jensen's in-equality in the proof.

In Theorem 5, the assumption on *n*-tuple *p* can be replaced by *p* being a real *n*-tuple such that for some  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \le 0 (k < m)$$
 and  $\sum_{i=k}^{n} p_i \le 0 (k > m)$ 

and  $(\int x'_i(t)\varphi(t) dt)_i, (x_i(t))_i, t \in [a, b]$  being monotone *n*-tuples.

We use the reverse Jensen-Steffensen's inequality (see [3, p. 6] and [4]) in the proof.

## 5. Results for Logarithmic Means

We define the logarithmic mean  $L_r(x, y)$  of distinct positive numbers x, y by

$$L_{r}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \frac{y^{r+1} - x^{r+1}}{r+1}\right)^{1/r} & r \neq -1, 0\\ \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{y^{y}}{x^{x}}\right)^{\frac{1}{y-x}} & r = 0\\ \frac{\ln y - \ln x}{y-x} & r = -1 \end{cases}$$

and take  $L_r(x, x) = x$ . The function  $r \mapsto L_r(x, y)$  is nondecreasing.

It is easy to see that  $L_1(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$  and using method similar to that of the previous theorems we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $g, b : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbf{R}$  be nonnegative nondecreasing functions with continuous first derivatives and g(a) = h(a), g(b) = h(b).

a) If f is a nonnegative increasing function on [a, b], and if  $r, s \leq 1$ , then

$$L_r\left(\int_a^b g'(t)f(t)\,dt,\int_a^b b'(t)f(t)\,dt\right) \le \int_a^b L'_s(g(t),b(t)f(t)\,dt.$$
 (16)

If  $r, s \geq 1$  then the reverse inequality holds.

b) If f is a nonnegative nonincreasing function then for r < 1 < s (16) holds, and for r > 1 > s the reverse inequality holds.

*Proof*: Let *f* be a nonincreasing function and r < 1 < s. Using F = -f, integration by parts and inequalities between logarithmic means we get

$$\begin{split} &L_r \left( \int_a^b g'(t) f(t) \, dt, \int_a^b b'(t) f(t) \, dt \right) \\ &\leq L_1 \left( \int_a^b g'(t) f(t) \, dt, \int_a^b b'(t) f(t) \, dt \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \left( g(t) + b(t) \right)' f(t) \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (g(t) + b(t)) f(t) |_a^b + \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} (g(t) + b(t)) \, dF(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (g(t) + b(t)) f(t) |_a^b + \int_a^b L_s(g(t), b(t)) \, dF(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (g(t) + b(t)) f(t) |_a^b - L_s(g(t), b(t)) f(t) |_a^b \\ &+ \int_a^b L_s'(g(t), b(t)) f(t) \, dt = \int_a^b L_s'(g(t), b(t)) f(t) \, dt. \end{split}$$

### References

- [1] Alzer, H.: An Extension of an Inequality of G. Pólya, Buletinul Institutului Politehnic Din Iasi, Tomul XXXVI (XL), Fasc. 1-4, (1990) 17-18.
- [2] Gauss, C. F.: Theoria combinationis observationum, 1821., German transl. in Abhan*dlungen zur Methode der kleinsten Quadrate*. Neudruck. Würzburg 1964, pp. 9 and 12.
- [3] Mitrinović, D. S., Pečarić, J. E., Fink, A. M.: Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis. Dordrecht, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1993.
- [4] Pečarić, J.: Inverse of Jensen-Steffensen's Inequality, Glas. Mat. Ser. III, Vol16 (36), No 2, (1981), 229-233.
- [5] Pečarić, J., Varošanec, S.: Remarks on Gauss-Winckler's and Stolarsky's Inequalities, to appear in Util. Math.

## J. Pečarić et al.: Gauss-Pólya's Inequality

- [6] Pečarić, J., Varošanec, S.: A Generalization of Pólya's Inequalities, Inequalities and Applications. World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, (1994), 501–504.
- [7] Pólya, G., Szegö, G.: Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysism I, II. Berlin, Springer Verlag, 1956.
- [8] Varošanec, S., Pečarić, J.: Gauss' and Related Inequalities. Z. Anal. Anwendungen, Vol 14. No 1, (1995), 175–183.

Authors' addresses: J. Pečarić, Fac. of Textile Techn. University of Zagreb, Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; J. Šunde,<sup>1</sup> Def. Science and Tech. Org., Communication Division, PO Box 1500, Salisbury SA 5108, Australia; S. Varošanec; Dept. of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

82

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work was completed while author was at University of Adelaide.